UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,202	07/25/2007	Larry R. Rohrschneider	FHCC:016US/10611971	5927
	7590 04/06/201 & JAWORSKI L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
600 CONGRES SUITE 2400		WILSON, MICHAEL C		
AUSTIN, TX 7	8701	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1632	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/06/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/593,202	ROHRSCHNEIDER, LARRY R.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michael C. Wilson	1632		

	Michael C. Wilson	1632	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ess
THE REPLY FILED 31 March 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on tapplication, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Claperiods:	he same day as filing a Notice of A eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance v	Appeal. To avoid aban , or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire latexaminer Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth i er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing ). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejectio FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. .ED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the street forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later to may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nsion and the corresponding amount of ortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wit AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b  (a) They raise new issues that would require further con  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belov	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a ∞	er form for appeal by materially rec		e issues for
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11		cted claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	* **	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		ripilarie, arionamorie (i	. 02 02 1).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmen	t canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,4,6-12,15-23,29-35,37 and 39. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 40,45-48 and 50-5	ded below or appended.	be entered and an ex	planation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	_		
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented.  Se	l and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (I</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Michael C. Wilson/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1632	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: A new search and perhaps a new restriction of the fragments encompassed by the proposed claims would be required. The search of the 11.5 kb fragment previously required had to be broken up into three fragments which did not require searching the 0.96 kb fragment (54755-55810 of SEQ ID NO: 1) now claimed.